

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/509,945	HAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fox	1638	

All Participants:

(1) David T. Fox.

(2) Kristi L. Rupert.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that faxed supplemental amendment of 17 September 2003, re-faxed on 25 November 2003, placed application in condition for allowance, with entry of examiner's amendment to claim 28 to correct typographical error by inserting --nucleotide sequence -- after "a" in line 2. Examiner indicated that faxed amendment of 25 November 2003 would be submitted for scanning into Image File Wrapper, and the case would be allowed following the completion of this process. Examiner's amendment was approved by Applicant's representative..